Mar-11-05 01:14P P.10

> **REMARKS** 11.

The Examiner is requested to reconsider the application in view of the foregoing

amendment and the following remarks.

Respectfully, and generally for the reasons set forth below, the objections and

rejections and each ground therefor -- to the extent not rendered moot by the foregoing

Amendment -- are traversed. Generally, it is believed that the amendment adds no new matter.

In paragraph 1 of the Office Action, the Examiner has objected to an informality

in the specification. In response, the foregoing Amendment has addressed the informality.

In paragraph 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner has objected to claims 1 and 3

for informalities. In response, the foregoing Amendment has addressed the informalities.

In paragraph 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner has rejected all claims

pursuant to 35 U.S.C. Sec. 103. The Examiner contends that the claims are obvious over

Milstein in view of Yankee Gardner. In response, the Applicant respectfully traverses the

rejection as being defective at least because Yankee Gardner has not been shown to be prior

art.

The application, as amended, is believed to be in condition for allowance, and

favorable action is requested. If the prosecution of this case can be in any way advanced by a

telephone discussion, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (312) 240-0824.

Respectfully submitted.

Date: March 11, 2005

Peter K. Trzyna

(Reg. No. 32,601)

P.O. Box 7131

Chicago, IL 60680-7131

(312) 240-0824